

CORRECTION: STABLE HOMOTOPY CLASSIFICATION OF
 $BG_p^\wedge$

JOHN MARTINO AND STEWART PRIDDY

In this note we correct the proof of Theorem 1.1 of our paper [MP], given as Theorem 1 below. Let G, G' be finite groups and p be a prime number. The goal is to give necessary and sufficient algebraic conditions on the p -subgroups of G and G' which determine if their p -completions $BG_p^\wedge$ and $BG_p'^\wedge$ are stably homotopy equivalent.

Theorem 1. *For finite groups G, G' the following are equivalent:*

- (1) $BG_p^\wedge$ and $BG_p'^\wedge$ are stably homotopy equivalent.
- (2) For every p -group Q ,

$$\mathbf{F}_p \text{Rep}(Q, G) \approx \mathbf{F}_p \text{Rep}(Q, G')$$

as $\text{Out}(Q)$ modules. $\text{Rep}(Q, G) = \text{Hom}(Q, G)/G$ with G acting by conjugation.

- (3) For every p -group Q ,

$$\mathbf{F}_p \text{Inj}(Q, G) \approx \mathbf{F}_p \text{Inj}(Q, G')$$

as $\text{Out}(Q)$ modules. $\text{Inj}(Q, G) \subseteq \text{Rep}(Q, G)$ consists of conjugacy classes of injective homomorphisms.

Our notation follows that of [MP]. Throughout all groups are finite and hereafter all group maps are monomorphisms.

The key step in the proof is the next Proposition. For Q a p -group we let $\text{Cen}(Q, G) \subseteq \text{Inj}(Q, G)$ be the equivalence classes of injections $\alpha : Q \rightarrow G$ such that $C_G(\text{Im}\alpha)/Z(\text{Im}\alpha)$ is a p' -group.

Proposition 2. *If $\mathbf{F}_p \text{Inj}(Q, G) \approx \mathbf{F}_p \text{Inj}(Q, G')$ as $\text{Out}(Q)$ modules for all p -groups Q then $\mathbf{F}_p \text{Cen}(Q, G) \approx \mathbf{F}_p \text{Cen}(Q, G')$ as $\text{Out}(Q)$ modules for all Q .*

Let $n\text{Cen}(Q, G)$ be the complement of $\text{Cen}(Q, G)$ in $\text{Inj}(Q, G)$. To study these sets we shall consider subgroups $H \leq G$ such that $H \approx Q$ and p divides $|C_G(H)/Z(H)|$. Let $\tilde{H}$ be a Sylow p -subgroup of $H \cdot C_G(H)$. By definition $|H| < |\tilde{H}|$. An equivalence $s : \tilde{H}_1 \xrightarrow{\sim} \tilde{H}_2$

Date: July 18, 2011.

between such groups will be an isomorphism such that $s(H_1) = H_2$. Let $\{\tilde{Q}_j\}$ be a set of representatives for equivalence classes of such subgroups.

The proof of Proposition 2 depends on a close analysis of $Cen(Q, G)$. Define

$$Cen_{Q_j}(\tilde{Q}_j, \tilde{Q}_k, G) = \{\beta : \tilde{Q}_j \rightarrow G \mid [\beta] \in Cen(\tilde{Q}_j, G), \widetilde{\beta(\tilde{Q}_j)} \sim \tilde{Q}_k\}/G.$$

The following lemma describes some elementary properties of $Cen_{Q_j}(\tilde{Q}_j, \tilde{Q}_k, G)$. Proofs are given at the end of the note.

Lemma 3. *Let $\tilde{Q}_m$ denote a subgroup of maximum order in $\{\tilde{Q}_j\}$.*

- (1) $\{\beta : \tilde{Q}_j \rightarrow G \mid \widetilde{\beta(\tilde{Q}_j)} \sim \tilde{Q}_j\}/G \subseteq Cen(\tilde{Q}_j, G)$.
- (2) $Cen(\tilde{Q}_m, G) = Inj(\tilde{Q}_m, G)$.
- (3) $Cen_{Q_m}(\tilde{Q}_m, \tilde{Q}_m, G) = Cen(\tilde{Q}_m, G)$.
- (4) *If $|\tilde{Q}_j| = |\tilde{Q}_k|$ and $Cen_{Q_j}(\tilde{Q}_j, \tilde{Q}_k, G) \neq \phi$ then $\tilde{Q}_j \sim \tilde{Q}_k$.*
- (5) $Cen(\tilde{Q}_j, G) = Cen_{Q_j}(\tilde{Q}_j, \tilde{Q}_j, G) \coprod_k Cen_{Q_j}(\tilde{Q}_j, \tilde{Q}_k, G)$ where k ranges over $\{k : |\tilde{Q}_k| > |\tilde{Q}_j|\}$.

Define a set of monomorphisms

$$R(Q', \tilde{Q}) = \{\alpha : Q' \rightarrow \tilde{Q} \mid \alpha(Q') = Q\}.$$

and a group of automorphisms

$$Aut(\tilde{Q}|Q) = \{\alpha : \tilde{Q} \rightarrow \tilde{Q} \mid \alpha(Q) = Q\}.$$

Observe that $R(Q', \tilde{Q})$ and $Cen_Q(\tilde{Q}, \tilde{Q}_k, G)$ are $Aut(\tilde{Q}|Q)$ sets since the image of Q is invariant. The following Lemma is a corrected version of Lemma 4.6 [MP] which contained an error pointed out to us by Kari Ragnarsson [R].

Lemma 4. *There is an isomorphism of $Out(Q)$ sets*

$$\coprod_k \psi : R(Q, \tilde{Q}_k) \times_{Aut(\tilde{Q}_k|Q_k)} Cen_{Q_k}(\tilde{Q}_k, \tilde{Q}_k, G) \rightarrow nCen(Q, G)$$

natural in G given by composition, i.e. $\psi(\gamma \times [\delta]) = [\delta\gamma]$.

Define a set of monomorphisms

$$R_{Q_i}(\tilde{Q}_i, \tilde{Q}_k) = \{\alpha : \tilde{Q}_i \rightarrow \tilde{Q}_k \mid \alpha(Q_i) = Q_k\}.$$

Arguing as in Lemma 4 we have

Lemma 5. *There is an isomorphism of $\text{Aut}(\tilde{Q}_i|Q_i)$ sets*

$$\psi : R_{Q_i}(\tilde{Q}_i, \tilde{Q}_k) \times_{\text{Aut}(\tilde{Q}_k|Q_k)} \text{Cen}_{Q_k}(\tilde{Q}_k, \tilde{Q}_k, G) \rightarrow \text{Cen}_{Q_i}(\tilde{Q}_i, \tilde{Q}_k, G).$$

natural in G where $\psi(\gamma, [\delta]) = [\delta\gamma]$.

Proposition 6. *If $\mathbf{F}_p\text{Inj}(Q, G) \approx \mathbf{F}_p\text{Inj}(Q, G')$ as $\text{Out}(Q)$ modules for all p -groups Q then $\mathbf{F}_p\text{Cen}(\tilde{Q}_j, G) \approx \mathbf{F}_p\text{Cen}(\tilde{Q}_j, G')$ as $\text{Out}(\tilde{Q}_j)$ modules for all j and*

$$\mathbf{F}_p\text{Cen}_{Q_j}(\tilde{Q}_j, \tilde{Q}_j, G) \approx \mathbf{F}_p\text{Cen}_{Q_i}(\tilde{Q}_j, \tilde{Q}_j, G')$$

as $\text{Aut}(\tilde{Q}_j|Q_j)$ modules for all j .

Proofs.

Proof of Lemma 3: (1) Let $\beta \in \{\beta : \tilde{Q}_j \rightarrow G \mid \widetilde{\beta(\tilde{Q}_j)} \sim \tilde{Q}_j\}$. By definition we must show $C_G(\beta(\tilde{Q}_j))/Z(\beta(\tilde{Q}_j))$ is a p' -group. Let $x \in C_G(\beta(\tilde{Q}_j)) \subseteq C_G(\beta(Q_j))$ have order a power of p . Since $\widetilde{\beta(\tilde{Q}_j)} \sim \tilde{Q}_j$, $|\tilde{Q}_j| = |\beta(\tilde{Q}_j)| \leq |\beta(Q_j)| = |\tilde{Q}_j|$. Therefore $\beta(\tilde{Q}_j) = \beta(Q_j)$ and so $\beta(\tilde{Q}_j)$ is a Sylow p -subgroup of $C_G(\beta(Q_j)) \cdot \beta(Q_j)$. However $\langle x, \beta(\tilde{Q}_j) \rangle$ is a p -subgroup of $C_G(\beta(Q_i)) \cdot Q_i$ which means $x \in \beta(\tilde{Q}_j)$ and therefore $x \in Z(\beta(\tilde{Q}_j))$.

(2) Since $\text{Cen}(\tilde{Q}_m, G) \subseteq \text{Inj}(\tilde{Q}_m, G)$ we need only show the other inclusion. Let $[\beta] \in \text{Inj}(\tilde{Q}_m, G)$. Thus we must show $C_G(\beta(\tilde{Q}_m))/Z(\beta(\tilde{Q}_m))$ is a p' -group. Using maximality this argument is analogous to that of (1).

(3) By definition $\text{Cen}_{Q_m}(\tilde{Q}_m, \tilde{Q}_m, G) \subseteq \text{Cen}(\tilde{Q}_m, G)$. Let $[\beta] \in \text{Cen}(\tilde{Q}_m, G)$. By maximality we have equality $|\tilde{Q}_m| = |\beta(\tilde{Q}_m)| = |\beta(Q_m)| = |\tilde{Q}_m|$. Since $\beta(Q_m)$ is a Sylow p -subgroup of $C_G(\beta(Q_m)) \cdot \beta(Q_m)$ there is an isomorphism

$$c_g\beta : \tilde{Q}_m \xrightarrow{\beta} \beta(\tilde{Q}_m) \xrightarrow{c_g} \widetilde{\beta(Q_m)}$$

where $g \in C_G(\beta(Q_m)) \cdot \beta(Q_m)$. Hence $\tilde{Q}_m \sim \widetilde{\beta(Q_m)}$ and so $[\beta] \in \text{Cen}_{Q_m}(\tilde{Q}_m, \tilde{Q}_m, G)$.

(4) If $[\beta] \in \text{Cen}_{Q_j}(\tilde{Q}_j, \tilde{Q}_k, G)$ then $|\tilde{Q}_j| = |\beta(\tilde{Q}_j)| \leq |\widetilde{\beta(\tilde{Q}_j)}| = |\tilde{Q}_k|$. Thus $\beta : \tilde{Q}_j \xrightarrow{\sim} \widetilde{\beta(\tilde{Q}_j)}$ and it follows that $\tilde{Q}_j \sim \tilde{Q}_k$.

(5) $[\beta] \in \text{Cen}(\tilde{Q}_j, G)$ iff $\beta : \tilde{Q}_j \rightarrow G$ is a monomorphism and $C_G(\beta(\tilde{Q}_j))/Z(\beta(\tilde{Q}_j))$ is a p' -group. Now $\widetilde{\beta(\tilde{Q}_j)} \sim \tilde{Q}_k$ for some unique

k . Hence $[\beta] \in \text{Cen}_{Q_j}(\tilde{Q}_j, \tilde{Q}_k, G)$. The restriction on the range of k follows from (4). The other inclusion is clear. $\square$

Proof of Lemma 4: If $R(Q, \tilde{Q}_k) \neq \phi$ then $|Q| = |Q_k| < |\tilde{Q}_k|$. Therefore $\phi(\gamma_k \times [\delta_l]) \in n\text{Cen}(Q, G)$. It is easily checked that ψ is well-defined.

Injectivity: Suppose $\psi(\gamma_k \times [\delta_k]) = \psi(\gamma_l \times [\delta_l])$. Then for some $g \in G$

$$\delta_l(Q_l) = \text{im}(\delta_l \gamma_l) = c_g \text{im}(\delta_k \gamma_k) = c_g \delta_k(Q_k)$$

Hence $c_g[C_G(\delta_k(Q_l)) \cdot \delta_k(Q_k)] = C_G(\delta_l(Q_l)) \cdot \delta_l(Q_l)$ and similarly for their Sylow p -subgroups. Hence $\widetilde{\delta_k(Q_k)} = \widetilde{\delta_l(Q_l)}$ up to conjugation sending $\delta_k(Q_k)$ to $\delta_l(Q_l)$. Thus $\widetilde{Q_k} \sim \widetilde{Q_l}$ which implies $\widetilde{Q_k} = \widetilde{Q_l}$ and $Q_k = Q_l$. Therefore we have a commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} Q & \xrightarrow{\gamma_k} & \tilde{Q}_k & \xrightarrow{\delta_k} & G \\ \text{id} \downarrow & & & & \downarrow c_g \\ Q & \xrightarrow{\gamma_l} & \tilde{Q}_k & \xrightarrow{\delta_l} & G \end{array}$$

i.e., $\gamma_l = \delta_l^{-1} c_g \delta_k \gamma_k$. Thus

$$\gamma_l \times [\delta_l] = \delta_l^{-1} c_g \delta_k \gamma_k \times [\delta_l] = \gamma_k \times [\delta_l(\delta_l^{-1} c_g \delta_k)] = \gamma_k \times [\delta_k]$$

since $\delta_l^{-1} c_g \delta_k \in \text{Aut}(\tilde{Q}_k|_{Q_k})$.

Surjectivity: If $[f] \in n\text{Cen}(Q, G)$ then there is the unique factorization

$$f : Q \xrightarrow{\pi} \widetilde{f(Q)} \xrightarrow{i} G$$

where π is a monomorphism onto $f(Q)$ and i is inclusion. Choose an equivalence $u : \widetilde{f(Q)} \rightarrow \tilde{Q}_j$ for some j such that $u(f(Q)) = Q_j$ and set $\gamma = u\pi$, $\delta = iu^{-1}$. By Lemma 3 (1), $[\delta] \in \text{Cen}_{Q_j}(\tilde{Q}_j, \tilde{Q}_j, G)$. Since $\gamma \in R(Q, \tilde{Q}_j)$ we have $\psi(\gamma, [\delta]) = [\delta\gamma] = [f]$ as required. $\square$

Proof of Lemma 5: Fix $Q = Q_i$. First we note that $\widetilde{\delta\gamma(Q)} = \widetilde{\delta(Q_k)} \sim \tilde{Q}_k$. Therefore $[\delta\gamma] \in \text{Cen}_Q(\tilde{Q}, \tilde{Q}_k, G)$. It is now easy to check that ψ is well-defined. Injectivity: Fix $Q = Q_i$ and suppose $\psi(\gamma_1 \times [\delta_1]) = \psi(\gamma_2 \times [\delta_2])$. Then

$$\delta_1 \gamma_1 = c_g \delta_2 \gamma_2$$

for some $g \in G$. Hence $\gamma_2 = \delta_2^{-1} c_g^{-1} \delta_1 \gamma_1$. Thus

$$\gamma_2 \times [\delta_2] = \delta_2^{-1} c_g^{-1} \delta_1 \gamma_1 \times [\delta_2] = \gamma_1 \times [\delta_2(\delta_2^{-1} c_g^{-1} \delta_1)] = \gamma_1 \times [\delta_1].$$

Surjectivity: Given $[f] \in \text{Cen}_Q(\tilde{Q}, \tilde{Q}_k, G)$, there is a factorization

$$f : \tilde{Q} \xrightarrow{\pi} f(\tilde{Q}) \xrightarrow{i} G$$

and an equivalence $u : \widetilde{f(\tilde{Q})} \rightarrow \tilde{Q}_k$ where π is an isomorphism onto $f(\tilde{Q})$, i is inclusion, and $u(f(Q)) = Q_k$. Since $f(\tilde{Q}) \leq C_G(f(Q)) \cdot f(Q)$ we have $c_g(f(\tilde{Q})) \leq \widetilde{f(Q)}$ for some $g \in C_G(f(Q)) \cdot f(Q)$. Let $\gamma = uc_g\pi$, $\delta = ic_g^{-1}u^{-1}$. Then $\gamma \in R_Q(\tilde{Q}, \tilde{Q}_k)$, $[\delta] \in \text{Cen}_{Q_k}(\tilde{Q}_k, \tilde{Q}_k, G)$ and $\psi(\gamma, [\delta]) = [f]$. $\square$

Proof of Proposition 6: By Lemma 3 (2) and downward induction on the order of $\tilde{Q}_i$ we may assume

$$\mathbf{F}_p \text{Cen}(\tilde{Q}_i, G) \approx \mathbf{F}_p \text{Cen}(\tilde{Q}_i, G') \quad (1)$$

as $\text{Out}(\tilde{Q}_i)$ modules for all $\tilde{Q}_i$ such that $|\tilde{Q}_i| > |\tilde{Q}_j|$ for some j . Claim:

$$\mathbf{F}_p \text{Cen}_{Q_i}(\tilde{Q}_i, \tilde{Q}_i, G) \approx \mathbf{F}_p \text{Cen}_{Q_i}(\tilde{Q}_i, \tilde{Q}_i, G')$$

as $\text{Aut}(\tilde{Q}_i|_{Q_i})$ modules for all $\tilde{Q}_i$ such that $|\tilde{Q}_i| > |\tilde{Q}_j|$. By Lemma 3 (3) we may assume by downward induction that

$$\mathbf{F}_p \text{Cen}_{Q_k}(\tilde{Q}_k, \tilde{Q}_k, G) \approx \mathbf{F}_p \text{Cen}_{Q_k}(\tilde{Q}_k, \tilde{Q}_k, G')$$

as $\text{Aut}(\tilde{Q}_k|_{Q_k})$ modules for all $\tilde{Q}_k$ such that $|\tilde{Q}_k| > |\tilde{Q}_i|$ for some i such that $|\tilde{Q}_i| > |\tilde{Q}_j|$. Therefore by Lemma 5,

$$\oplus_{k,k \neq i} \mathbf{F}_p \text{Cen}_{Q_i}(\tilde{Q}_i, \tilde{Q}_k, G) \approx \oplus_{k,k \neq i} \mathbf{F}_p \text{Cen}_{Q_i}(\tilde{Q}_i, \tilde{Q}_k, G')$$

as $\text{Aut}(\tilde{Q}_i|_{Q_i})$ -modules. By equation (1), Lemma 3 (5),

$$\oplus_k \mathbf{F}_p \text{Cen}_{Q_i}(\tilde{Q}_i, \tilde{Q}_k, G) \approx \oplus_k \mathbf{F}_p \text{Cen}_{Q_i}(\tilde{Q}_i, \tilde{Q}_k, G')$$

as $\text{Aut}(\tilde{Q}_i|_{Q_i})$ -modules. By the Krull-Schmidt Theorem we have cancellation, hence

$$\mathbf{F}_p \text{Cen}_{Q_i}(\tilde{Q}_i, \tilde{Q}_i, G) \approx \mathbf{F}_p \text{Cen}_{Q_i}(\tilde{Q}_i, \tilde{Q}_i, G')$$

which proves the claim by induction.

Setting $Q = \tilde{Q}_j$ in Lemma 4 we conclude

$$\mathbf{F}_p n \text{Cen}(\tilde{Q}_j, G) \approx \mathbf{F}_p n \text{Cen}(\tilde{Q}_j, G').$$

as $\text{Out}(\tilde{Q}_j)$ modules. By definition

$$\mathbf{F}_p \text{Inj}(\tilde{Q}_j, G) = \mathbf{F}_p \text{Cen}(\tilde{Q}_j, G) \oplus \mathbf{F}_p n \text{Cen}(\tilde{Q}_j, G)$$

and we have cancellation. It follows that

$$\mathbf{F}_p \text{Cen}(\tilde{Q}_j, G) \approx \mathbf{F}_p \text{Cen}(\tilde{Q}_j, G')$$

for all j . Repeating the argument of the claim we also have

$$\mathbf{F}_p \text{Cen}_{Q_j}(\tilde{Q}_j, \tilde{Q}_j, G) \approx \mathbf{F}_p \text{Cen}_{Q_j}(\tilde{Q}_j, \tilde{Q}_j, G')$$

for all j . □

Proof of Proposition 2: By Prop 6 and Lemma 4,

$$\mathbf{F}_p n\text{Cen}(Q, G) \approx \mathbf{F}_p n\text{Cen}(Q, G').$$

The result follows by the decomposition

$$\mathbf{F}_p \text{Inj}(Q, G) = \mathbf{F}_p \text{Cen}(Q, G) \oplus \mathbf{F}_p n\text{Cen}(Q, G)$$

and cancellation. □

Proof of Theorem 1: With the aid of Proposition 2, the proof of this result now follows as in [MP].

REFERENCES

- [MP] J. Martino and S. Priddy *Stable homotopy classification of $BG_p^\wedge$* , Topology 34 (1995), 633–649.
- [R] K. Ragnarsson *Private Correspondence*.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, WESTERN MICHIGAN UNIVERSITY, KALAMAZOO, MI 49008

E-mail address: john.martino@wmich.edu

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, NORTHWESTERN UNIVERSITY, EVANSTON, IL 60208

E-mail address: priddy@math.northwestern.edu